

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,302	06/06/2003	Brian J. Schimmoller	P-122152.01 (UTI)	5876	
75	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
Suite 2100 112 E. Pecan St	reet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Antonio, TX 78205			2856		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		11.
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,302	SCHIMMOLLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert R. Raevis	2856	

		Robert R. Raevis	2856	
• ***	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
	PLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
this plac a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followers the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date			
b), [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
have been under 37 ( set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exCFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filin	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
(a)	$\overline{oldsymbol{ol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{ol{oldsymbol{ol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{ol{oldsymbol{ol{ol}oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{ol{oldsymbol{ol{oldsymbol{ol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}} $ They raise new issues that would require five for some of the boles of the b	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
	☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
2001	appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	inated alaims	
(0)	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. 🗒 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗀 Ар	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
• non	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a -allowable claim(s).			
hóv The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
	im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: 6,14 and 15.			
Cla	m(s) rejected: 1-5,7-13 and 16-21.			
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration: IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. □∏he bec	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. 🖸 Th REQUES	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🛄 No	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. 🔲 Ot	her:			
7.				
			RAEVIS AU2856	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1 and 21 present new combinations, and thus are new issues.

RAEUIS